

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/595,495	MERMOD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CELINE QIAN	1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CELINE QIAN. (3)_____.

(2) Joyce V. Natzmer. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2012.

Type: Telephonic Video Conference
 Personal [copy given to: applicant applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others

(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 48,49,51,85 and 124-126.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Applicant's representative and the examiner agreed to make amendment as provided in examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Claims 48, 49, 51 and 55 have been rejoined.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

Attachment